

234
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45251-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.01.2019

Binder Kaur and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. V.P.S. Mithewal, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Sama, Advocate for petitioners.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners alleges that son of petitioner No. 1 namely Amarjit Singh who is also brother of petitioners No. 2 and 3 is in bad company and is out of control of the petitioners and on that account police officials are harassing the petitioners.

In the circumstances, present petition is disposed of with a direction that if the petitioners are required for any investigation, they should not be called to the police station without issuing notice under Section 160 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, mentioning the date and purpose for which they have been called for.

Disposed of as above.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.01.2019

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No