

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1771 of 2019
Date of decision: 26.8.2019

Ram Kishan

.. Petitioner

v.

Shri Prabhjot Singh

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 17.1.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 1242 of 2019. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3- Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa to consider and decide the representation dated 2.12.2018 (Annexure P-10) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment after giving an effective opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels

that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.”

Compliance Report dated 23.8.2019 by way of an affidavit of Sh. Ashok Kumar Garg, Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa annexing report dated 11.7.2019 and order dated 9.8.2019 filed in Court are taken on record. Copy thereof has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the reply filed, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

26.8.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No