

103
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/05/2019 13:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-3107-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.5.2019

Bhupesh Nandan Petitioner (s)

Versus

Nancy Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Kaundal, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner is aggrieved by order dated 18.4.2019, passed by learned Additional District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali, vide which on an application filed under Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, petitioner husband was directed to pay Rs. 15,000/- per month maintenance to wife and Rs. 10,000/- per month maintenance to minor child from the date of application. Rs. 15,000/- litigation charges were also allowed.

The learned counsel for petitioner states that salary of petitioner is Rs. 52,000/- per month. He claims that he is paying monthly installment of loan to the tune of Rs. 35,186/- per month. Before trial Court, though it was claimed that wife is having degree of M. Sc. (IT) and is able to earn livelihood, but it was not proved that wife is doing any job. The age of minor child is three years who is to be looked after by respondent. Petitioner is otherwise able bodied person. If petitioner took loan and paying installments, it is for him to see how to maintain his family with remaining amount. Considering the level of prices of essential commodities now-a-days, needs of minor child, who is eligible to go to play way school

and his clothing, food etc., maintenance awarded by learned Additional District Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali, cannot be called excessive or on the higher side. Therefore, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.5.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No