

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21357 of 2019

Date of decision: October 30, 2019

Navpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.50 dated 28.02.2018, under Sections 420, 406, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13 of Travel Professional Regulation Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar Rural.

On 10.05.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that neither the petitioner has been named in the FIR, nor any amount has been received by him. Further contends that the complainant has compromised the matter with other co-accused and reference is made to Annexure P-1.

Notice of motion for 29.07.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join

investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Baljinder Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 10.05.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 10.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 30, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No