

CWP-12372-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.05.2019

NARINDER KAUR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHIPresent: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that once the husband of the petitioner was found entitled for pensionary benefits, the petitioner automatically becomes entitle for the grant of family pension and keeping in view the order passed by the Supreme Court of India in **Civil Appeal No. 1298 of 2018, decided on 30.01.2018**, the respondents have already processed the case of the petitioner for the grant of the benefits but the same has not been be taken to the logical end for the grant of Pension/family pension.

Counsel for the petitioner further states that for the relief which has been sought in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 25.02.2019 (Annexure P-6), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing appropriate orders.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, the

respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 25.02.2019 (Annexure

P-6), by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same shall also be released to him within a period of next three months.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

10.05.2019

renubala

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No