

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-45951-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 10.5.2019

Vaibhav Singhal and others ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.190, dated 4.5.2018, registered at Police Station Kundli, District Sonapat, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.
2. Vide order dated 17.1.2019 the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court so as to get the statements recorded.
3. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sonapat, has been received wherein it has been reported that statement of complainant Manish Garg as well as of petitioners/accused Vaibhav Singhal, Gyan

Chand and Seema Gupta, have been recorded to the effect that they have entered into a compromise with the intervention of respectables. The complainant has specifically stated that the matter has been compromised and he does not want to pursue with the matter. Learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sonapat, opined that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

4. In view of the aforesaid report and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, the petition is allowed and FIR No.190, dated 4.5.2018, registered at Police Station Kundli, District Sonapat, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, and all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

May 10, 2019

mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No