

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CRM-M No.45948 of 2018

Date of Decision: 22.01.2019

RahulPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Doon, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Birla, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 16.10.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and this fact has been affirmed by learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Ram Niwas.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the interim order passed in favour of the petitioner on 16.10.2018 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

22.01.2019
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No