

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No. 1763 of 2019

Date of Decision: 08.07.2019

Pankaj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Sarvjit Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Munish Bansal and Mr. Prince Goyal, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Bedi, Advocate
for respondents No. 3 and 4.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful
disobeyance of order dated 25.2.2019 passed in CWP No. 4940 of 2019.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

"In view of the above and without ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.4 i.e. the Divisional Engineer, Lining Division No.7, Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation Ltd., Bathinda to examine the claim of the petitioner against the backdrop of a legal Notice dated 15.01.2019 (Annexure P-2) and to take a final decision thereupon expeditiously and in any case within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this Court.

Needless to observe that in case any outstanding amount is found due, the same would be released to the petitioner without delay.

It is clarified that this Court has not examined the claim of the petitioner on merits.

Disposed of."

Mr. S.S. Bedi, Advocate has filed reply today in Court, same is taken on record. Copy of the reply given to learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per the order passed annexed with reply, there is an amount of 10,07,889/- due to be paid to the petitioner for which it has been stated that the funds have been asked from the State Government.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 3 and 4 states that the matter will be perused by them and needful regarding payment would be done within three months from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in case any grievance survives the petitioner be given liberty to avail remedy as available in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made above, no cause of action survives.

The rule issued against respondent is hereby discharged.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in case the petitioner is aggrieved of order, shall be at liberty to avail remedy as available in accordance with law.

08.07.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No