

108  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA  
10/5/2019 13:43  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document

CR-3082-2019 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 10.5.2019

Balvir Kaur and another ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Najar Singh and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate,  
for petitioners.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in present revision is order dated 5.3.2019 (Annexure-P-1), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Khanna, vide which an application filed by present petitioners-defendants for appointment of higher revenue officer as local commissioner.

Heard.

Suit is for separate possession by way of partition of suit property and for permanent injunction. Trial Court has rightly observed that in this case, only preliminary decree is to be passed, determining the share of the parties. Therefore, appointment of higher revenue officer as local commissioner at this stage is not necessary. Petitioners-defendants can always file application for appointment of local commissioner when proceedings for final decree are initiated. No ground to interfere in impugned order. Thus, revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

10.5.2019  
sjks

|                        |   |     |   |    |
|------------------------|---|-----|---|----|
| Whether speaking order | : | Yes | / | No |
| Whether reportable     | : | Yes | / | No |