

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21705 of 2019

Date of decision: July 16, 2019

Vijay Sharma @ Bobby

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. G.S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.61 dated 16.04.2019, under Sections 386, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and Sections 25, 54 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Cantonment, District Amritsar.

As per prosecution case, the petitioner was apprehended and ₹10 lakhs Playing Indian Currency Notes as well as mobile phone were recovered from the petitioner.

Contentends that petitioner is in custody since 17.04.2019 and after investigation, report under Section 173 of Cr. P.C. has already been submitted on 13.06.2019 and charges are yet to be framed. Also contends that there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him.

Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

Since the recovery is alleged to be of Playing Indian Currency Notes to the tune of ₹10 lakhs, after investigation, report under Section 173 Cr. P.C. has already been submitted on 13.06.2019 and charges are yet to be framed; thus, trial will take long time. Concededly, there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner, and as such, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any useful purpose.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, in this case, on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

The petitioner shall fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

July 16, 2019
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**
Whether Reportable: **Yes**