

208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45628-2018
Date of decision-24.01.2019

Veerpal Kaur and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. K.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Har Raj Singh.

Mr. R.K.Dhiman, Advocate for respondent No.2

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.111 dated 19.07.2018 registered under Section 306 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Malout, District Shri Muktsar Sahib.

On 16.10.2018, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that deceased Ajaypal Singh was husband of petitioner no.2 – Deepinder Kaur. Petitioner no.1 – Veerpal Kaur is the mother of petitioner no.2 – Deepinder Kaur. Ajaypal Singh was married to Deepinder Kaur and out of this wedlock, one daughter was born. Since Ajaypal Singh was not keeping/maintaining the petitioner no.2 well, there arose differences between the deceased and the petitioner no.2 and on this account, petitioner no.2 has filed proceedings under Section 125 CrPC on 4.5.2018 against the deceased. He further submits that the

deceased was a drug addict and was convicted in a NDPS case as well. Thus, the petitioners have wrongly been framed in the case and have in no manner abated his death.

Notice of motion 24.01.2019.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on ad interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) CrPC.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in pursuance of the order dated 16.10.2018, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Har Raj Singh submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 16.10.2018, is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

24.01.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No