

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.3149 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 13, 2019

Dhanna Singh (since deceased) through L.Rs

...Petitioners

Versus

Mal Singh (since deceased) through L.Rs & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Rohit Ahuja, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankush Singla, Advocate,
for the caveator.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the caveator submits that the apprehension expressed by the petitioner in the present revision petition no longer exists as the mutation proceedings dated 14.12.2018 reflected that Jit Kaur and Amar Kaur's names have been reflected qua 1/12 share and not 1/6th share.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that still the petitioner should have been given opportunity of hearing and there is no evidence on record as to whether these ladies were legal heirs of Mal Singh.

I am afraid, the aforementioned argument of Mr. Ahuja would not be sustainable as the grievance could have been justified had the mutation reflected the share more than what entitled to.

Dismissed.

May 13, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No