

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(139)

CWP-12155-2019

Date of Decision: May 08, 2019

Sween Malik and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that they were senior to one Sh. Jaipal Kashyap, and were granted the lesser pay and as per the settled law, a senior employee is entitled for the step-up of the pay equivalent to the junior.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners have served the respondents with a legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-5) and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 01.12.2018 (Annexure P-5) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, if it is found that the petitioners are entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to them within three months thereafter.

May 08, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No