

CRM-M-21364-2019 (O&M)

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21364-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 28.05.2019

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Rajni Kant Upadhaya, Advocate for
Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.193 dated 25.03.2019, registered under Sections 120-B, 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Quilla Panipat, District Panipat.

In pursuance to the order dated 21.05.2019, ASI Rajpal, No.120/SPT, Police Station Quilla, Panipat, Haryana, filed the affidavit, stating that on registration of the FIR, previous investigation was conducted by ASI Jai Ram of Police Station Quilla, Panipat. He was entrusted with the investigation on 04.05.2019 and owing to the assignment of election duties, he could not conduct the investigation, even he did not conduct any type of raid or made telephonic call to the petitioner.

Reply on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed in Court

CRM-M-21364-2019 (O&M)

today, wherein it has been stated that the petitioner is also habitual criminal person and another FIR No.139 dated 06.03.2019 under Sections 120B, 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, was registered against the petitioner at Police Station Quilla, Panipat. There was a Panchayati compromise dated 27.10.2018 (Annexure R-1), acknowledging the liability. The thorough investigation is required as huge amount of recovery is pending, against the petitioner. The offences levelled against the petitioner are having punishment upto seven years and the police assured to comply all the directions, instructions, regulations as prescribed under law including the procedure under Section 41-A of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Keeping in view the facts that the petitioner is being involved in the FIR and custodial interrogation is required, I do not intend to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

Any observation shall not be construed to have expression of opinion.

28.05.2019
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**