

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21215-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 20.05.2019

Laxmi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. K.S. Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Hem Raj Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 09.05.2019, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Laxmi and Sudhir, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have got married against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 6 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent Nos.4 to 6. They have placed on record photographs Annexure P-3 evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 06.05.2019, Annexure P-6 to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion for 20.05.2019.

Mr. Sanjay Saini, AAG, Haryana who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the State and is requested to submit a status report. A copy of the petition be supplied to the State counsel during the course of the day.

In the meantime, respondent No.2 would examine the

threat perceptions and if found necessary, interim protection would be provided to them. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.”

Status report by way of affidavit of Yad Ram, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Narnaul alongwith copy of statements of Babu Lal, Vimla and Zile Singh who are respondent Nos.4 to 6 has been filed today in the Court. Same is taken on record. Respondent Nos.4 to 6 have stated that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and they would not interfere in the married life of the petitioners.

On the basis of the aforesaid statements, correctness whereof is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, petitioners would be at liberty to file fresh one if in future they have any threat to their life and liberty.

20.05.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No