

262 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4461-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.11.2019

Nirmal Singh Virk and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Paul Batra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the applicant (In CRM-19794-2015).

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Quashing of criminal prosecution has been sought on the basis of settlement. Application has been filed by Neelam Gupta claiming that she is the owner of the property and by impersonation, her property was sold by the accused in favour of respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in fact the entire cheating fraud is with her as it is her property which is sought to be transferred by impersonation.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to quash the criminal prosecution.

Dismissed.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

22.11.2019
Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No