

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117+250

CRM-M-21099-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 22.07.2019

GURTEJ SINGH@ GURTEZ@ PURA @ PURE AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-21864-2019

This application is for adding offence under Sections 341, 325, 148, 149 & 201 IPC and for deleting offence under Section 34 IPC in the head note and prayer clause of the petition.

Issue notice in the application.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

In view of the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed and the Sections 341, 325, 148, 149 & 201 IPC are ordered to be added in the head note and prayer clause of the petition while Section 34 IPC is ordered to be deleted.

Registry is directed to make necessary corrections.

MAIN CASE

The petitioners are seeking regular bail in FIR No.0046 dated 28.03.2019, under Sections 379-B, 323, 341, 325, 148, 149 & 201 IPC, registered at Police Station Dhanaula, District Barnala.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the allegations in the FIR are that a tablet and mobile phone belonging to the complainant had been snatched by 4 persons. He further states that the petitioners are not named in the FIR and only a stick was recovered from petitioner No.1.

Nothing has been recovered from petitioner No.2 while mobile phone and tablet were recovered from the other co-accused. He also states that the co-accused Satnam Singh has been granted regular bail in CRM-M-27459-2019 by this Court on 08.07.2019. The petitioner No.1 is 27 years of age and petitioner No.2 is 21 years of age. They are not involved in any other case. They are in custody for over 3 months.

Learned State counsel states that the investigation has been completed and charges have been framed but no witness has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners especially when the co-accused has been granted bail, they are not involved in any other case and the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

22.07.2019

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No