

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 21061 of 2019
Date of decision: 15.05.2019.**

Satinder Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. S.S. Ranghi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabharwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate, and
Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate, for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 31 dated 20.03.2019 under Sections 307, 452, 323, 429, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 11 (1) of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960 registered at Police Station Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not attributed any injury in the FIR.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Gurmail Singh, states that the petitioner has specifically been named in the FIR wherein it was alleged that he was armed with an iron rod. However, in the later part of the FIR, there was a typographical error while uploading on the computer and both the injuries with iron rod, which hit the complainant on

the left side of his head, were attributed to Jatinder Singh although a perusal of the FIR would indicate that it was the petitioner, who was armed with an iron rod and, therefore, the injury with iron rod on the head of the complainant was caused by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although learned State counsel has tried to clarify the error but the said error has not been rectified as per Section 172 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Learned State counsel, however, contends that a 'zimni' was recorded on the same day wherein error was corrected.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he caused injuries on the head of the complainant with an iron rod. A copy of the MLR has also been attached as Annexure P2 which mentions a lacerated wound 4 x 0.5 x 0.5 cm on the left front parietal region where active bleeding was also present.

In view of the allegation that the petitioner caused injury on the head of the complainant with an iron rod, I do not deem it appropriate to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

15.05.2019
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No