

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.45291 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.02.2019**

Anita Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Ms. Usha Malik, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.313 dated 22.08.2018, under Sections 323, 324, 452, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kanina, District Mohindergarh (Mahendergarh).

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 12.10.2018, passed the following order:-

“ It has been submitted that the petitioner had gone to her in-laws' house but her mother-in-law felt unconformable with her entry and FIR was lodged against her.

Notice of motion for 04.02.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Arresting/Investigating Officer within seven days. On doing so, she shall be released on interim bail,

subject to her furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, she shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. failing which she shall loose the benefit of interim bail allowed to her. ”

Today, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has joined the investigation in terms of aforesaid order and her custodial interrogation is no longer required.

The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from H.C. Sanjay Singh.

In view of above, interim order dated 12.10.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

February 04, 2019
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No