

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45206-2018
Date of decision-04.04.2019

Babbu Tanwar

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab assisted by
SI Kawal.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.0085 dated 18.07.2018 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Rampura, District Bathinda.

On 16.11.2018, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that originally the complainant Jagsirpal Singh made the allegations of inducing the complainant to part away ₹30,00,000/- for the purpose of plots and assured to return when his loan is passed. Later on few more cash withdrawals are also linked to the petitioner. The alleged cash withdrawals by other victim, is not connected to the petitioner. Learned counsel contends that in fact he had invested ₹27,00,000/- in the business of complainant, but he was not shown as business partner, therefore, sought return. Only ₹11,40,000/- was refunded by way of transfer to his account on behalf of the

complainant/partner. Still a sum of ₹15,60,000/- remains to be refunded.

Adjourned to 20.12.2018.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the order dated 16.11.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Kawal submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 16.11.2018 is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

04.04.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No