

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 12432 of 2019

Date of Decision : 13.05.2019

Kanta Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Ghuman, Advocate and
Mr. Chand Ram Narwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 17.02.2017 (Annexure P-8) certain employees, who were similarly situated as the husband of the petitioner, have been granted the benefit of regularization from the date of initial joining by the department.

Learned counsel argues that late husband of the petitioner, who was similarly situated as the personnel, who have been granted the benefit of regularization from the date of joining, is entitled for the same benefit.

Learned counsel further states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has made representation dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-7) to the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the aforesaid representation by passing a speaking order.

Keeping in view the advance notice given, Mr. C.S. Bakhshi,

Additional Advocate General, Haryana appears on behalf of the respondent-State and states that the department has no objection for the grant of prayer to the petitioner by deciding the representation in a time bound manner and passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the claim prayed in the present writ petition or in the representation, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-7) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case after the order, it is found by the respondents that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to her within a period of next three months.

Writ petition stands disposed of in above terms.

May 13, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*