

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 12431 of 2019
Date of Decision : 13.05.2019

Tulsa Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Ghuman, Advocate and
Mr. Chand Ram Narwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 17.02.2017 (Annexure P-7) certain employees, who were similarly situated as the husband of the petitioner, have been granted the benefit of regularization from the date of initial joining of the department.

Learned counsel argues that late husband of the petitioner, who was similarly situated as the personnel, who have been granted the benefit of regularization from the date of joining, is also entitled for the same benefit.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

As the petitioner has not submitted any representation to the concerned department in respect of the grievance raised in the present writ petition, learned counsel for the petitioner requests that petitioner be given

an opportunity to file a representation within a period of two weeks for raising the grievance before the department as raised in the present writ petition and the said representation be disposed of in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State very fairly states that in case any representation is received from the petitioner making out the grievance as raised in the present writ petition, the same will be decided expeditiously but not later than three months from the receipt of the same.

Keeping in view the above, present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner raising the grievance as raised in the present writ petition, the department will decide the same by passing appropriate speaking order on the same within a period of three months from the receipt of the same as undertaken by learned counsel for the respondents.

In case petitioner is found entitled for the relief as per the decision so taken by the department, the said relief be also released to the petitioner within a period of three months thereafter.

However, it is made clear that at this stage this Court makes no observation with regard to the entitlement of the claim of the petitioner as being raised in the present writ petition or to be raised in the representation which will be filed by the petitioner.

Writ petition stands disposed of in above terms.

May 13, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*