

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12528 of 2019
Date of decision : 22.05.2019

Veena Vasudeva and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J. (oral)

Petitioners are seeking direction to the respondents to decide their representation dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-10) in view of the judgment dated 03.10.2016 passed by this Court in **Narender Pal Singh Arya and another vs. State of Haryana and others**, CWP No.3922 of 2011 (Annexure P-7) and grant them all other consequential benefits with effect from the due date.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, submits that LPA against the above said judgment (Annexure P-7) stands dismissed. The petitioners, who were not a party to the bunch of writ petitions are entitled for the benefit, which is admissible to the candidates, who were petitioners in the aforesaid writ petitions, as the impugned notifications were quashed. But, despite the said fact, respondents are not implementing the judgment qua all the affected employees in the Education Department of Haryana.

Without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, this

petition is disposed of by giving direction to the respondents to look into the representation dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-10) and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

22.05.2019
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No