

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43970 of 2015
DATE OF DECISION:-09.01.2019**

NIRMLA DEVI AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS...

V.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS...

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Rajinder Kumar Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been made for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 5 especially respondent No.5 to register FIR under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B IPC, against respondents No.6 to 9 and their accomplices.

As per reply filed by way of affidavit of Mr. Rajveer Singh Boparai, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Budhlada, District Mansa, the matter between the parties is of civil nature. However, learned counsel for the petitioners has disputed the above stand of respondent-State and submits that DSP, Sub. Division Budhlada himself has observed in his inquiry report that in case, appeal is not decided in favour of the accused, in that eventuality, they would return the entire sale

consideration.

The above observation of DSP shows that respondents No.6 to 9 had defrauded and cheated the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitting that the police has connived with the accused, prays for withdrawal of the instant petition to file complaint under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid. It is expected that trial court shall not be influenced by any of the findings of the DSP made in his inquiry report dated 03.08.2015 (P-17).

09.01.2019
sonika

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

whether speaking/reasoned:
whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No