

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12480-2019

Date of decision: 13.5.2019

Rajesh Narwal

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Ved Parkash, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to take necessary action against respondent Nos. 5 and 6 as per Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 in view of order dated 30.7.2004 (Annexure P-1) and order/ letter dated 26.9.2018 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 2- Director, Development and Panchayats Department, Haryana to consider and decide the applications/representations dated 25.2.2019 (Annexure P-5 (colly.)) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Director, Development and Panchayats Department, Haryana to consider and decide the applications/representations dated 25.2.2019 (Annexure P-5 (colly.)) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

13.5.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No