

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3193 of 2019

Date of Decision : 15.05.2019

Satish

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union Bank of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Kulwant S. Dhanora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Relies upon the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in '**H.S. Ahammed Hussain vs. Irfan Ahammed**', 2002(3) R.C.R.(Civil) 563, which was followed by this Court in '**Indra Devi vs. Dharam Singh and Others**,' 2006(4) R.C.R.(Civil) 762, to contend that no restriction can be placed on the rights of an adult to claim the compensation amount deposited in his or her name.

2. Following the aforesaid decision, this Court finds no conceivable reason to deny the prayer of the Petitioner.

3. The Revision Petition, is therefore, allowed after setting aside the impugned order. The amount admissible to the present Petitioner is directed to be released immediately.

May 15, 2019
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No