

121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44910-2018(O&M)

Date of decision:22.02.2019

Rana Chawla

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s India Infoline Finance Ltd.

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None for the petitioner.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

Even on the third call, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Perusal of the paper book also shows that on 10.10.2018 as well, an adjournment was sought by the learned counsel for the petitioner. Accordingly, the case was adjourned. However, on the next date of hearing, once again a request was made by the proxy counsel for the petitioner for adjournment. The same was declined by this Court. However, the petitioner was ordered to surrender before the trial Court, within two weeks from the date of order, failing which, the petition shall be dismissed for want of prosecution.

As mentioned above, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner either to inform the Court whether the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court or not, or to argue the case.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

22.02.2019

Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether reportable

Yes / No