

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-21109-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 23.5.2019

Sandeep Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. A.R.Takkar, Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep K. Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. By way of filing this petition, the petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No. 162, dated 4.4.2019 at Police Station DLF Phase-III, Gurugram, under Sections 170, 294, 323, 365, 379-B, 506, 511, 34 IPC.
2. The FIR in the present case was lodged at the instance of Ankit Kumar wherein it has been alleged that on 4.4.2019 when he along with his friend Ravi was present in Ambience Mall, Gurugram, then a white coloured Fortuner car stopped near them and two persons came out of the vehicle. It is alleged that said vehicle was being driven by a Sikh person while the other one disclosed himself to be Sandeep

Sharma being IPS, DCP, Gurugram and who immediately upon alighting from the said vehicle started hurling abuses and also gave beatings to the complainant and to his companion. Although, the complainant tried to reason out with the said accused but to no avail. It is alleged that aforesaid Sandeep Sharma was drunk and threatened even the security personnel present at the Mall.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has falsely been implicated in the present case and that the CCTV footage collected from the spot would clearly reveal that it is the petitioner who had been bashed up by the complainant. It has further been submitted that in any case the matter stands compromised and in these circumstances no useful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind bars.
4. At this stage Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant-Ankit Kumar and filed vakalatnama on his behalf. The same is taken on record.
5. Learned counsel for the complainant also endorsed the fact that the parties have indeed entered into compromise and that he has no objection in case the petitioner is released on bail.
6. Although learned State counsel has feigned ignorance about the compromise, but since the complainant is duly represented by a counsel who has stated at bar that the parties have indeed entered into compromise, this Court has no hesitation in accepting that the parties have resolved their dispute.

7. Having regard to aforestated position, further detention of the petitioner who is stated to be in custody since 4.4.2019, would not serve any useful purpose. The petition, as such, is accepted. Petitioner Sandeep Sharma is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
8. This present petition stands accepted accordingly.

May 23, 2019

mohan

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No