

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRM-M-20945 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.05.2019

Varinder Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. G.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 08.05.2019, the following order was passed:-

“Petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have got married against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 and 5 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent Nos.4 and 5. They have placed on record photographs (Annexure P-3) evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 30.04.2019 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab, appearing for the State of Punjab, is requested to submit a status report. A copy of the petition be supplied to the State counsel during the course of the day.

List on 15.05.2019.

In the meantime, respondent No.2 would examine the threat perceptions and if found necessary, interim protection would be provided to the petitioners. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violating of law, if any, committed by them.”

Counsel appearing for the State of Punjab, on instructions from HC Mukhtiar Singh, has submitted that the petitioners as well as father of petitioner No.1 have joined the enquiry and the petitioners have made a statement that now they do not have any threat to their life and liberty. The father of petitioner No.1 has also stated that he does not have any objection to the marriage between the petitioners and he shall not interfere in the married life.

Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that the petitioners have also conveyed him the same thing.

In view thereof, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to file a fresh petition, if in future, there is any threat to their life and liberty.

15.05.2019
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No