

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-3002-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.05.2019

Vijay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Simarjeet Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

This revision petition is directed against order dated 08.10.2018, passed by Addl. District Judge, Mansa, vide which, in a divorce petition filed by petitioner Simarjeet Kaur against her husband Vijay Kumar, on an application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, having been moved by her, she has been granted maintenance pendente lite @ Rs.3000/- per month with Rs. 5000/- as litigation expenses.

The respondent-husband is aggrieved by the said order, submitting that the maintenance granted is on the higher side, more particularly, when the petitioner-wife is highly qualified and is working as a teacher in a private school, besides giving tuition at home. However, the trial Court has considered all these aspects observing that the respondent/husband had failed to substantiate those allegations by

bringing on record any documentary evidence, considering the fact that respondent/husband is an able-bodied person who can easily earn Rs.300/- per day i.e. Rs.9000/- per month; 1/3rd of that amount has been granted to the petitioner/wife as maintenance pendente lite.

The order is well reasoned, based upon proper appraisal of evidence and correct appreciation of law. There is no illegality or infirmity therein, which might have called for interference by this Court while exercising revisional jurisdiction. There being no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed.

08.05.2019
sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No