

216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44463-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:25.02.2019

Raman Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Josh, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Birender Singh Rana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Divya Bajaj, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for cancellation of bail of the accused person-respondent No.2-Ramesh Bajaj in case FIR No.38 dated 06.04.2018 registered under Sections 406, 420 of IPC registered at Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

Reply to the petition for cancellation of bail has been filed by respondent No.2 in Court today and the same is taken on record.

As an answer to the specific query by the Court; as to whether the custody of respondent No.2 is required by the police or not, learned State counsel, on instruction from ASI Mohinder Singh, submits that the police do not need the custody of respondent No.2, at this stage. It is further submitted that challan has already been filed in this case. Therefore, respondent No.2 is not required for any investigation purposes.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any reason for cancellation of the concession of anticipatory bail granted to respondentNo.2.

Dismissed.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

25.02.2019

Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No