IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

201) CRM-M-44441-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.12.2019

Kuldeep Singh @ Sabi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

…Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl AG, Punjab

***

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the orders dated October 8, 2018, September 2, 2019 and September 26, 2019, eventually, it seems that the petitioner has been produced before the investigating officer to join the investigation.

However, learned State counsel has submitted that there are 6 other criminal cases registered against him, including one under the NDPS Act. The petitioner in fact is in custody in connection with the other cases.

Be that as it may, as regards the present case, he is stated to have been named on a disclosure statement made by a co-accused, from whom (as per case of the investigating agency), contraband was stated to have been seized.

That being so, as regards the present case, the order dated 8.10.2018, admitting the petitioner to interim bail, is made absolute, with it

PARITOSH KUMAR
2019.12.11 12:13
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

obviously made absolutely clear that this order would have no bearing on other criminal cases registered against the petitioner. Even as regards the present case, as regards the merits thereof, admitting the petitioner to anticipatory bail would not affect the merits of the case, which would be seen as per the evidence led before the trial Court at the appropriate stage.

Disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

07.12.2019
Paritosh Kumar

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

PARITOSH KUMAR
2019.12.11 12:13
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document