

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

237

CRM-M-20709-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 17.09.2019

VINOD RANA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Neha Dewan, Advocate for
Mr. R.K. Mattoo, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Jain, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in a complaint bearing No.5308 of 2017 for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that two cheques for an amount of Rs.50,000/- and Rs.24,500/- issued by him were dishonoured due to insufficiency of funds. The petitioner had earlier appeared before the trial Court and had been granted bail. However, he could not appear before the trial Court due to wrong noting of the date and he was not informed correctly by his counsel. The petitioner undertakes that he shall

henceforth appear before the trial Court on each and every date.

This Court, by the order dated 07.05.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the trial Court and he was to be released on ad-interim bail to its satisfaction.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner had appeared before the trial Court in terms of the order dated 07.05.2019 of this Court and had been granted interim bail.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having appeared before the trial Court, the order dated 07.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

17.09.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No