

250

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCF No.1721 of 2019
Date of Decision: 18.09.2019**

Kuldeep Singh

Petitioner

Versus

D. Suresh, IAS, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Tushar Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 10.01.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No.258 of 2019.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as under:-

“After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the present petition by directing the respondents to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-12) as expeditiously as possible. Ordered accordingly.”

Reply was filed by the respondents annexing order dated

02.07.2019.

In view of order passed, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against order dated 02.07.2019.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

September 18, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |