

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

347

**Criminal Revision No. 2003 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 30.09.2019**

Surinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Pawan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukant Gupta, Addl. P.P. for U.T., Chandigarh.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.

Perusal of the order dated 17.09.2019 reveals that the next date of hearing has been mentioned as 30.10.2019 whereas as per the register maintained by the Reader of the Court, the date is reflected as 30.09.2019. Even counsel for the parties have noted the date as 30.09.2019. Considering that the mistake in the date of hearing appears to be an inadvertent typographical mistake, the date of 30.10.2019 is treated as 30.09.2019.

In compliance of the order dated 17.09.2019, service has been effected upon the petitioner and the petitioner is represented by his counsel.

Learned State Counsel has produced custody certificate dated 29.09.2019 by way of affidavit of Amandeep Singh, C.P.S., Deputy Superintendent, Model Jail, Chandigarh. The same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though pursuant to the order deated 30.04.2012 passed by this Court, whereby the sentence of the petitioner was suspended, he was released from the jail, yet if the

remission period is taken into consideration, the petitioner has by now undergone the entire sentence.

In view of the above, present revision petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

30.09.2019
pooja saini

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>