

**CRM-M-44300-2018 and  
CRM-M-4040-2019**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of Decision: 02.04.2019**

**1. CRM-M-44300-2018**

Inspector (Rtd.) Ram Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Gurnam Kaur and others

... Respondents

**AND**

**2. CRM-M-4040-2019**

SI Nagaur Singh (Retd. DSP)

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate,  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-44300-2018.

Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate,  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-4040-2019.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No.1 and 2  
in CRM-M-44300-2018 and  
for respondents No.2 and 3 in CRM-M-4040-2019.

**INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

As per office report in CRM-M-44300-2018, respondent No.1  
has been served through her son and respondent No.2 has been duly served.

However, none has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.

**CRM-M-44300-2018 and  
CRM-M-4040-2019**

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-44300-2018, filed by petitioner-Inspector (Rtd.) Ram Singh and CRM-M-4040-2019, filed by petitioner-SI Nagaur Singh (Retd. DSP), under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail in complaint case bearing No.RBT/20/19.03.2014/10.04.2017, CIS No.COMI/132/2014, titled as 'Gurnam Kaur and another vs. Inspector Iqbal Singh and others', under Sections 302, 120-B, 363, 364 and 506 of the IPC (summoned under Sections 302, 363 and 120-B of the IPC only), pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ferozepur.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Perusal of record shows that the petitioners have been summoned in a complaint case. They are not required for any interrogation or investigation purposes. They are simply to face the trial.

The petitioners have already surrendered before the trial Court and have been released on interim bail and since then, they have been appearing before the trial Court.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and without discussing the facts of the case in minute details, I find that trial of the case may take a long time and no useful purpose will be served by

**CRM-M-44300-2018 and  
CRM-M-4040-2019**

disposal of the case. Therefore, finding merits in both these petitions, the same are allowed. The orders dated 08.10.2018 passed in CRM-M-44300-2018 and dated 08.02.2019 passed in CRM-M-4040-2019, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute.

**02.04.2019**  
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

|              |                           |   |     |
|--------------|---------------------------|---|-----|
| <b>Note:</b> | Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes |
|              | Whether reportable        | : | No  |