

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204-a

CRM-M-20781-2019(O & M)

Date of decision : 08.07.2019

Manjit Sony

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL) :

Through the present petition, challenge is to the order dated 13.01.2017 (Annexure P3) framing charges against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the connected case i.e. CRM-M-43349-2017, as per the supplementary reply filed, once the final report under Section 173(8) of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed, the trial Court is required to consider the same and frame the fresh charges, if any, qua the petitioner.

Learned State counsel does not dispute this position.

In view thereof, the order dated 13.01.2017 passed framing charges is declared infructuous. The trial Court would pass a fresh order, after hearing.

08.07.2019

neenu

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No.
Yes/No