

**255    IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44194-M-2018**

Date of decision: September 05, 2019

Ranjit Raina

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:     Mr. Vipul Joshi, Advocate  
                     for the petitioner.

                     Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

                     Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate  
                     for respondents No. 2 and 3.

                     Mr. Deepak Saini, Advocate  
                     for respondent No.4.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.** (Oral)

Prayer made in the petition is for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner.

As per the averments made in the petition, the petitioner is owner of agriculture land and on 8.8.2018, he heard the noise of a helicopter circulating over his land and was trying to descend, when the petitioner came there, 3 - 4 gun shots were fired from the helicopter, which seemed to be occupied by 7 - 8 masked men. The petitioner rushed to his house and brought his licensed .12 bore gun and in order to prevent the helicopter from landing upon his land, the petitioner fired retaliatory gun shot in the air, as a result the helicopter ascended and then fled away.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that a civil suit is already pending and the petitioner given a representation to the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra for the incident.

Reply by way of affidavit of DSP, Shahabad (M), Kurukshetra has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, in which it is stated that on receiving various complaints addressed to different authorities, DSP, Shahabad investigated the matter in a fair and impartial manner and during the investigating, he met the people of the locality, including the Sarpanch of the village and the persons of the adjoining land, i.e. the Sarpanches of the three other villages. The statement of the farm labour, working on the farm of the petitioner, was also recorded. The enquiry was also conducted from the Air Force Station, Ambala and upon such enquiry, it was found that no such incident had taken place.

Considering the fact that all the seven representations given by the petitioner to the different authorities were thoroughly enquired into and no truth was found in the complaints of the petitioner, this petition is rendered infructuous and the same stands disposed of as such.

**September 05, 2019**  
**satish**

**( ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No