

CHARANJIT KAUR VS JASKIRAT SINGH AND OTHERS

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Pandit, Advocate,
for the review-applicant.

Learned counsel for the review-petitioner has been heard at length. Learned counsel, at the outset, has pointed out that there is typographical error in sub-para 4 of the judgment as the tenant of the adjoining shop has vacated the shop on 31.03.2018 and not on 31.03.2019. The order was passed by this Court on 10.09.2018. Hence, there is obvious typographical error in the order, which is ordered to be corrected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner under the garb of review petition wishes to re-argue the revision petition, which is not permissible. Hence, with the aforesaid modification, the review application is dismissed.

C.M.No.10074-CII-2019

Once the review application has been considered on merits, no order is required to be passed in the application for condonation of delay in re-filing the review-application.

Dismissed.

May 09, 2019
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE