

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4302-2017
Date of Decision: July 25, 2019

Jagdish Singh @ Dagdish Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Pritpal Singh Miglani, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harsh Raheja, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Learned State counsel contended that the present petition has otherwise become infructuous because petition was filed to complete the investigation. Learned State counsel further contended that the police has already completed the investigation and submitted cancellation report before the Court, but the Court did not accept the cancellation report and remitted back the matter for further investigation.

In view of above, present petition stands disposed of. However, if petitioner is aggrieved at later stage, he may avail remedy available as per law.

July 25, 2019
vkd

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No