

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3047 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.12.2019**

Chanden Singh Kanwal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Mrs. Shobha Kumari and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate and
Ms. Lipika Dahiya Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. Petitioner has laid challenge to the order dated 16.12.2016 passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurgaon (now Gurugram) vide which judgment debtor/petitioner has been directed to execute the conveyance deed in favour of the decree holder(s).

[2]. The suit was decreed vide judgment and decree dated 17.09.2015. Vide order dated 16.02.2019 passed by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurugram, judgment debtor suffered a statement to the effect that he is ready and willing to comply

with the requirement of execution of conveyance deed in his name. Decree holder also accepted that he would bear all the expenses for execution of conveyance deed in favour of the judgment debtor.

[3]. In view of readiness and willingness given by the judgment debtor, he was directed to complete the formality of execution of conveyance deed in his name and thereafter, execute the sale deed in favour of the decree holder(s). Arrangement made in the aforesaid context cannot be termed to be wanting on any parameters.

[4]. In view of aforesaid, the present revision petition is dismissed.

10.12.2019

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No