

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12355-2019

Date of decision: - 08.07.2019

Rupinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The Additional (D), Cooperative Societies and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Harit Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states that limitation of 60 days was fixed by the respondents while amending Rule 11(3) of the Common Cadre Rules, 1978 on 17.06.2016 and the said letter was never circulated so as to make aware the employees of the respondent-Bank.

Counsel for the petitioner also admits that this plea has never been raised by the petitioner before the authorities vide which his revision petitioner under Section 69 of the Punjab Cooperative Societies Act, 1961 against order dated 16.05.2017 was rejected being time barred.

Once, this plea has not been raised by the petitioner before the said authority, how can this be raised before this Court now.

Faced with the situation, counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with a liberty to

the petitioner to approach the respondents, bringing to their notice that the amendment, which was carried out to the 1978 Rules, has never been published or brought to the notice of the employees of the respondent-Bank and hence, cannot be made applicable.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty, as prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

July 08, 2019
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No