

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-11955-2019

Date of decision: - 06.05.2019

Virender Kumar Sharma and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Ms. Manjeet Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners were entitled for the grant of one additional increment in view of the fact that the petitioners were discharging the duties of a higher post and under Rule 4.4 (2) (c) (i) Vol-I, Part-I of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, the petitioners are entitled for the said benefit. Further in support of the claim, the petitioners are relying upon the order passed by this Court in **CWP No. 3922 of 2011** titled as **'Narender Pal Singh Arya and another Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 03.10.2016.**

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case the prayer of the petitioners for deciding the legal notice as served by the petitioners upon the respondents on

07.02.2019 (Annexure P-4) is decided by the respondents in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order is accepted.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the legal notice dated 07.02.2019 (Annexure P-4), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 07.02.2019 (Annexure P-4) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to them within three months thereafter.

May 06, 2019
naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No