

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

268

**CRM-M-20389-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.05.2019.**

Sunita and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Samey Singh, contended that, after passing of the order dated 03.05.2019, statements of the petitioners as well as her parents have been recorded, and now there is no threat perception to the petitioners rendering the present petitioner infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioners do not add anything at this stage, because, the petitioners are not present in the Court today. It appears that there is no threat perception to the petitioners.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

20.05.2019

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No