

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

299

CRM-M-20602-2019

Date of Decision:20.08.2019

Manpreet Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No.228 dated 21.09.2015 under Sections 498-A, 377, 406, 506 IPC (Annexure P-1), registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of affidavit dated 19.11.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Initially, the FIR was registered under Sections 498-A, 377, 406, 506 IPC, however, after submission of challan, charges have been framed under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 read with Section 34 IPC. In this manner, the offence under Section 377 IPC has not been made out against any petitioner.

This Court vide order dated 06.05.2019 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned trial court was directed to send its report qua the

genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sub Division, Bilaspur and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 03.07.2019 to the effect that the parties have entered into compromise voluntarily.

Though no one is present on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant-Gurjeet Kaur in Court today, but no prejudice would be caused to her as she has already made a statement with regard to compromise before learned Magistrate on 30.05.2019 to the effect that they have compromised the matter voluntarily and without any fear, pressure or undue influence and she has no objection if the FIR in question registered against the petitioners-accused is quashed.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gold Quest International Private Limited Versus State of Tamil Nadu and others-2014 (4) RCR (Criminal) 206*** has held that when the disputes are substantially matrimonial in nature, or are civil property disputes with criminal facets, if the parties enter into a settlement, and it becomes clear that there are no chances of conviction, there is no illegality in quashing the proceedings under Section 482 Cr.P.C. read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Thus, following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of***

Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303* also, in the light of *Gold Quest International Private Limited's case (supra)*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.228 dated 21.09.2015 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 read with Section 34 IPC (Annexure P-1), registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of affidavit dated 19.11.2018 (Annexure P-2), subject to payment of costs of ₹5,000/- to be paid by the petitioners, within a period of one month from today with the **Pandit Bhagwat Dayal Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak** and the said amount would be spent for the treatment of poor patients within the knowledge of its Director. The petitioners shall produce a receipt with the Registry.

August 20, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No