

117
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/05/2019 17:29
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2962-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 6.5.2019

Anil Shori and others Petitioner (s)

Versus

Deepak Shori and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate,
for petitioners.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

It comes out that preliminary decree for partition has been passed. In appeal, lower appellate Court refused to stay operation of judgment and decree and directed parties not to alienate suit property or change its nature during pendency of suit. Now, on the application for final decree, a local commissioner has been appointed to suggest mode of partition and for account of rent, alleged to have been received by defendants.

After going through impugned order, I am of the view that there is no illegality or infirmity in impugned order of trial Court in proceeding with application for final decree when there is no stay on preliminary decree. Thus, revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

6.5.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No