

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20566-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.11.2019

Veeru

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.07, dated 31.01.2018, under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Sadar Rajpura, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that 25 injections of Bonogesic (Buprenorphine) each containing 2 ml were allegedly recovered from the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody for over 1 year and 9 months and is not involved in any other case. Learned counsel also relied upon the judgments of the

Coordinate Benches of this Court in the case of Dalwinder Singh versus State of Punjab, passed in CRM-M-10753- 2019 on 03.05.2019 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 15 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about a year in custody; in the case of Kamaljeet Singh @ Kamal versus State of Punjab, passed in CRM-M-4538-2019 on 13.03.2019 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 12 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about nine months in custody and in the case of Kuldeep Singh versus Union Territory, Chandigarh, passed in CRM-M-1400-2018 on 19.01.2018 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 12 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about three months in custody.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Darshan Singh, contends that 06 out of 11 prosecution witnesses have been examined. He is not involved in any other case.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, the orders of the Coordinate Benches of this Court, the petitioner being in custody for over 1 year and 9 months, not involved in any other case and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released

on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

19.11.2019
Satyawan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes.
Whether reportable	No.