

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

234

CRM-M-20374-2019(O&M)
Date of Decision : 10.5.2019

Balraj

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. D.K.Sihag, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No. 53 dated 13.2.2018 registered under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 342 IPC(Sections 302 and 325 IPC added later on) at P.S. Pundri, District Kaithan.

Counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner has been in custody since 25.3.2018 and has placed reliance upon the order dated 3.5.2019 passed in CRM-M-18768-2019 filed by the co-accused Vinod titled as *Vinod vs. State of Haryana*.

Learned Assistant Advocate General on instructions from Investigating officer has accepted this fact and stated that only one official witness is remain to be examined and prays that instead of releasing the petitioner on bail, it would be appropriate to put a time cap on the trial. He

has stated that the prosecution will lead its remaning evidence on or before 25.5.2019 subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

I find this to be a fair submission. Consequently, even while dismissing this petition, the Trial Court is directed to release the petitioner on bail in case the prosecution fails to conclude its entire evidence on or before 25.5.2019 subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands dismissed.

10.5.2019
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No