

232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1692 of 2019
Date of Decision: 19.07.2019**

Makhan Lal

Petitioner

Versus

D. Suresh, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Abha Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Saberwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 08.10.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No.27354 of 2016.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and learned State counsel and looking at the nature of the relief sought, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition with a direction to HUDA – respondent No.4 to consider the claims of the petitioners as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, by passing a speaking order. For not responding to the Court proceedings and delaying the process which has resulted in wastage of the time of the

Court, we burden HUDA with costs of Rs.20,000/- which shall be deposited in the Cash Branch of this Court within one month from today for onward deposit in the Kerala Chief Minister's Distress Relief Fund.”

Today, respondent has filed reply by way of affidavit of Mukesh Kumar, Estate Officer-I, HSVP, Gurugram annexing order dated 11.07.2019, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of reply filed, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no cause of action survives. He seeks liberty to challenge the order dated 11.07.2019.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

July 19, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No