

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1687 of 2019
Date of decision: 12.7.2019

Jai Kumar Kamboj

.. Petitioner

v.

Smt. Amna Tasneem

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 25.1.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No. 2087 of 2019. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“In view of the above, without advertting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Deputy Commissioner, Yamunanagar, to consider and decide complaint dated 2.4.2018 (Annexure P-1) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment after giving an effective opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six

weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.”

Learned counsel for the State submits that there is unintentional delay due to unavoidable circumstances. Further states that needful will be done positively within four weeks.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, the contempt petition is disposed of at this stage.

In case the statement made is not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. The revival would be at the cost and responsibility of the respondent.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

12.7.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No